IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA ELKINS

MICHAEL J. WILSON-BEY,

Petitioner,

٧.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:11-CV-59 (BAILEY)

TERRY O'BRIEN, Warden USP Hazelton,

Respondent.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On this day, the above-styled matter came before the Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge David J. Joel [Doc. 21]. By Standing Order, entered on March 24, 2000, this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Joel for submission of a proposed report and recommendation ("R&R"). Upon his initial review, Magistrate Judge Joel filed his R&R on February 27, 2012. In that filing, the magistrate judge recommends that this Court grant respondent Terry O'Brien's Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. 15] and deny and dismiss with prejudice petitioner Michael J. Wilson-Bey's § 2241 petition [Doc. 1].

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(c), this Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140,

1

150 (1985). In addition, failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of de novo

review and the petitioner's right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1);

Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); United States v. Schronce,

727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984). Here, objections to Magistrate Judge Joel's R&R were due

by April 5, 2012. To date, no objections have been filed. Accordingly, this Court will review

the R&R for clear error.

Upon careful review of the record, it is the opinion of this Court that the magistrate

judge's Report and Recommendation [Doc. 21] should be, and is, hereby ORDERED

ADOPTED for the reasons more fully stated therein. Accordingly, O'Brien's Motion to

Dismiss or, in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. 15] is hereby

GRANTED and Wilson-Bey's § 2241 petition [Doc. 1] is hereby DENIED and DISMISSED

WITH PREJUDICE. This Court DIRECTS the Clerk to enter judgment in favor of the

respondents and strike this case from the active docket of this Court.

As a final matter, upon an independent review of the record, this Court hereby

DENIES a certificate of appealability, finding that Wilson-Bey has failed to make "a

substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2).

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to all counsel of record and to

mail a copy to the pro se petitioner.

DATED: April 10, 2012.

JOHN PRESTON BAILEY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2